

Regional Science Inquiry



Regional Science Inquiry

June 2021 Volume XIII Number 1

Regional Science Inquiry

THE JOURNAL OF THE
Hellenic Association of Regional Scientists

June 2021
Volume XIII
Number 1

The Journal
is Indexed in



Editor-in-Chief
Professor
Christos Ap. Ladias



FOUNDATION YEAR 2008

English Edition
ISSN: 1791-5961 Print
ISSN: 1791-7735 On line

RSI J

Website: <http://www.rsijournal.eu>, Email: info@rsijournal.eu, publisher@rsijournal.eu
Address: 19b Navarinou Street, 15232 Chalandri, Athens, Greece, Tel./Fax: +30 210 6833700.
Copyright ©2008: C. A. LADIAS - HELLENIC ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL SCIENTISTS
The RSI is included in the following Reference Lists of: EconLit, Scopus, RSA I, EconPapers, RePec, IDEAS
The ICR, ZRW, KIE and GGGR, are also included in the Reference Lists of: EconPapers, RePec, IDEAS

Electronic and hard copy editions are offered free of charge

RSI Editorial Board 2021

The Board of the HELLENIC ASSOCIATION OF REGIONAL SCIENTISTS H.A.R.S. - 2021

[H.A.R.S. is a Think Tank of groups of people with multidisciplinary work in the fields of Regional Science, which occurs with the selfless contribution of participants who offer their work to the global scientific community]

President and Chair, Journals Management Committee (RSI)

Professor Christos Ap. Ladias
Editor-in-Chief

Legal Committee

Georgios-Stavros Kourtis, President of the Bod of the Panteion University, Honorary President of the Court of Audit, Professor Sophia Adam, Assistant Professor Panagiotis Kribas, Dr Angelika Kallia, Dr Evaggelos Mallios, Fotios Makris, Ioannis Kourtis, Sophia Kouti

Advisors

Professor Georgios Korres, Dr Apostolos Parpairis, Dr Nikolaos Chasanagas, Dr Sotirios Milonias, Esaias Papaioannou, Dimitrios Kouzas, Artemisia Georgiadou-Kupraiou, Dr Athina Bayba-Wallace, Antigoni Prapa, Olga Mporonilo, Sophia Aftousmi, Andreas Papaderos, Nikiforos Chatzigakis, Professor Ismini Kriari, Elina Kypraiou

Chief Executive

Vilelmini Psarrianou

Conference Co-ordinator

Dr Stylianos Alexiadis

International Relations Coordinators

Dr Dr Aikaterini Kokkinou, Antonia Obaidou

Students Observer

Eleonora Stavrakaki, Irene Nomikou, Christina Triantafyllou, Loukia Delivelioti, Georgios Roussaris, Irene Tzola

Website Administrators

Dimitrios Kouzas, Vilelmini Psarrianou,
Apostolos Ladias

Secretariat

Dr Chrisa Balomenou, Chrisoula Kouza, Victor Atoun, Iosif Atoun, Maria Rigaki, Konstantina Mantzavinou, Konstantina Georgiou, Nikolaos Alampanos, Elektra Katsiantoni, Dora Kyriazopoulou, Anna Maria Giallousi De Boorder, Eleni Koursari, Eleni Hinopoulou, Aggeliki Koursari, Elena Stournara, Dimitrios Ladias, Maria Oikonomou, Socratis Chitas, Maria Karagianni, Nikolaos Motsios, Apostolos Tsapalas, Victoria Frizi, Leonardos Tsousis, Apostolos Ladias, Vasiliki Petrou, Nikoleta Yiesil, Kyriakos Katsaros, Filippos Rountzos, Katerina Kotsiopoulos, Nilos Kotsiopoulos, Dimitra Tsetsoni, Maria Kousantaki, Chaim Kapetas, Aggela Trikili, Eleni Zioga, Sophia Trikali, Irini Nomikou, Despina Faridi, Anastasia Pnevmatikou, Maria Rammou, Athanasia Kanari, Jetmira Amalia Jancaj, Loukia Delivelioti, Daniela Gaga, Maria Avgenaki, Evanthia Michalaki, Christina Triantafyllou, Sofia Aftousmi, Foteini Strati, Sofia Kouti, Olga Boronilo, Androniki Pseftoudi, Antigoni Prapa, Anastasia Christopoulou, Irene Stathopoulou

Regional Science Inquiry

Hon. Managing Editor

EMERITUS PROFESSOR PETER NIJKAMP
Free University Faculty of Economics and Business
Administration, Department of Spatial Economics
Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Hon. Managing Editor

EMERITUS PROFESSOR NIKOLAOS KONSOLAS
Department of Economic and Regional Development
School of Sciences of Economy and Public
Administration, Panteion University of Social and
Political Sciences, Athens, Greece

Managing Editor

PROFESSOR THEODORE PELAGIDIS
Department of Maritime Studies
University of Piraeus, Piraeus, Greece

Editors-Authors

PROFESSOR PANAGIOTIS LIARGOVAS
Department of Economics
University of Peloponnese, Tripolis, Greece

PROFESSOR GEORGE KARRAS
Department of Economics
University of Illinois, Chicago, USA

RECTOR-PROFESSOR PARIS TSARTAS
Department of Business Administration
University of the Aegean, Mitilene, Greece

RECTOR-PROFESSOR NIKOLAOS GEORGOPOULOS
Department of Business Administration
University of Piraeus, Piraeus, Greece

PROFESSOR KIRAN PRASAD
Professor and Head, Dept. of Communication
and Journalism, Sri Padmavati Mahila University,
Tirupati – 517 502., A.P., India

PROFESSOR JOSE ANTONIO PORFIRIO
Departamento de Ciencias Sociais de Gestao
Universidade Aberta, Lisboa, Portugal

PROFESSOR PAOLO MALANIMA
Department of Economic History and Economics
Magna Graecia University in Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy

PROFESSOR RADOVAN STOJANOVIC
Faculty of Electrical Engineering
University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

PROFESSOR RUDIGER HAMM
Department of Business Administration
and Economics Niederrhein, University of Applied
Sciences, Krefeld, Germany

PROFESSOR SERAFEIM POLYZOS
Department of Planning and Regional Development,
School of Engineering, University of Thessaly, Volos
Greece

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR DANIEL FELSENSTEIN
Department of Geography,
Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

PROFESSOR GEORGE KORRES
Department of Geography
University of the Aegean, Mitilene, Greece

PROFESSOR MINAS AGGELIDIS
Department of Architecture, National Technical
University of Athens, Athens, Greece

PROFESSOR JOSE VARGAS HERNANDEZ
Departament de Mercadotecnia y Negocios
Internacionales, Universidad de Guadalajara,
Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico

PROFESSOR ELIAS CARAYANNIS
Department Information Systems & Technology
Management, School of Business, The George
Washington University, Washington, USA

Dr NGUYEN CAO Y
Head Of Department, Transport Economic Faculty,
University Of Transport And Communications
Hanoi Vietnam

PROFESSOR MOH'D AHMAD AL-NIMR
Mechanical Engineering Department
Jordan University of Science and Technology,
Irbid – Jordan

Dr CHARALAMBOUS LOUCA
Head of Business Department, Director of Research
Department, Editor of The Cyprus Journal of Sciences,
American College, Nicosia, Cyprus

PROFESSOR VASILIOS KOUGEAS
Department of Public Administration,
Panteion University, Athens, Greece

PROFESSOR PANTELIS SKAYANNIS
Department of Planning and Regional Development
University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR MARIA MICHALIDIS
Department Management and MIS,
School of Business, University of Nicosia
Nicosia, Cyprus

PROFESSOR GEORGE CHIOTIS
Department of Economic Sciences Athens University
of Economics and Business, Athens, Greece

EMERITUS PROFESSOR DIMITRIOS DIONISIOU
Department of Senior Mathematics
Hellenic Air Force Academy, Dekelia, Greece

PROFESSOR YUZARU MIYATA
Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering
Toyohashi University of Technology, Toyohashi, Japan

PROFESSOR DANIELA L. CONSTANTIN
Director of the Research Centre for Macroeconomic
and Regional Forecasting (PROMAR), Bucharest
University of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania

PROFESSOR NIKOLAOS KYRIAZIS
Department of Economic Sciences
University of Thessaly, Volos, Greece

PROFESSOR VIRON KOTZAMANIS
Department of Sociology University of Thessaly,
Volos, Greece

PROFESSOR MIRA VUKCEVIC
Faculty of Metallurgy and Chemical Technology
University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro

PROFESSOR FATMIR MEMAJ
Faculty of Economics University of Tirana,
Tirana, Albania

PROFESSOR DIMITRIOS SKIADAS
Department of International and European Studies
University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki, Greece

Dr ANNE MARGARIAN
Institute of Rural Studies, Federal Research Institute
for Rural Areas, Forestry and Fisheries,
Braunschweig, Germany

PROFESSOR FRANCISCO DINIZ University Trás-os-Montes e Alto Douro (UTAD), Portugal	Dr. NETA ARSENI POLO Department of Economics University “Eqrem Cabej”, Gjirokaster, Albania	PROFESSOR GARYFALLOS ARAMPATZIS Department of Forestry and Management of the Environment and Natural Resources Democritus University of Thrace Orestiada, Greece
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OLGA GIOTI-PAPADAKI School of Sciences of Economy and Public Administration, Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences Athens, Greece	LECTURER ALEXANDROS MANDHLA RAS Department of Economics, University Of Surrey, United Kingdom	PROFESSOR KONSTANTINOS SOUTSAS Department of Forestry and Management of the Environment and Natural Resources Democritus University of Thrace Orestiada, Greece
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR LAMBROS BABALIOUTAS Department of Public Administration, Panteion University, Athens, Greece	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR GEORGE P. MALINDRETOS Harokopion University, Kallithea, Greece	PROFESSOR EFSTATHIOS TSACHALIDIS Department of Forestry and Management of the Environment and Natural Resources Democritus University of Thrace Orestiada, Greece
RESEARSHER Dr NIKOLAOS KARACHALIS Regional Development Institute of Panteion University, Athens, Greece	RESEARCH FELLOW PARK JONG - SOON Development Institute of Local Government of South Korea, Jangan-gu, Suwon City, South Korea	PROFESSOR AMITRAJEET A. BATABYAL Department of Economics, Rochester Institute of Technology, NY 14623-5604, USA.
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR DARCIN AKIN Department of City and Regional Planning Gebze Institute of Technology, Gebze, Turkey	RESEARCHER, Dr. Dr. AIKATERINI KOKKINO Department of Geography University the Aegean, Mitilina, Greece	Dr. HAMID BELADI Department of Economics, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, USA
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR JAN SUCHACEK Department of Regional and Environmental Economics Technical University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic	PROFESSOR ANDREW FIELDSEND Studies in Agricultural Economics, Budapest, Hungary	Dr. YANSUIYU LIU College of Resources Science and Technology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China
PROFESSOR MIHAIL XLETOS Department of Economic Sciences University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece	Dr MICHAEL ALDERSON Director Project Development University of Szent Istvan, Budapest, Hungary	STAVROS RODOKANAKIS Department of Social and Policy Sciences University of Bath Clarendon Down, Bath, United Kingdom
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR ANASTASIA STRATIGEA Department of Geography and Regional Planning National Technical University of Athens, Athens Greece	Dr PEDRO RAMOS Facudade de Economia, Universidade de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal	PROFESSOR PETROS KOTSIPOPOULOS Department of Senior Mathematics Hellenic Air Force Academy, Dekelia, Greece
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR VASILIOS AVDIKOS Department of Economic and Regional Development, Panteion University, Athens, Greece	Dr NIKOLAOS HASANAGAS Faculty of Forestry and Natural Environment, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece	PROFESSOR GEORGE TSOBANOGLOU Department of Sociology University of the Aegean, Mitilina, Greece
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR HELEN THEODOROPOULOU Department of Home Economics Ecology, Harokopion University, Kallithea, Greece	Dr DIMITRIOS TSIOTAS Department of Planning and Regional Development, University of Thessaly, Pedion Areos, Volos, Greece	PROFESSOR ALBERT QARRI Vlora University, Vlora, Albania
EMERITUS PROFESSOR KONSTANTINOS TSAMADIAS Department of Home Economics Ecology, Harokopion University, Kallithea, Greece	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR PANAGIOTIS STAIKOURAS Department of Business Administration University of Piraeus, Piraeus, Greece	RESEARCHER Dr CARMEN BIZZARRI Department of Human science European University of Rome, Rome, Italy
PROFESSOR PANTELIS SKLIAS Faculty of Social Sciences University of Peloponnese, Korinthos, Greece	Dr CRISTINA LINCARU National Scientific Research Institut for Labor and Social Protection, Bucharest, Romania	PROFESSOR GEORGE POLICHRONOPOULOS School of Business Administration and Economics, Technological Educational Institute of Athens, Athens, Greece
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR MARIUSZ SOKOLOWICZ Department of Regional Economics and Environment University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR HIROYUKI SHIBUSAWA Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering Toyoashi University of Technology, Toyoashi, Japan	Dr MICHEL DUQUESNOY Universidad de los Lagos, CEDER Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, ICSHu-AAHA, Chili
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR JOAO MARQUES Department of Social and Political Sciences University of Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR CHRISTOS STAIKOURAS Department of Accounting and Finance, Athens University of Economics and Business, Athens, Greece	LECTURER ASPASIA EFTHIMIADOU Master Program of Environmental Studies Open University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR GEORGIOS SIDIROPOULOS Department of Geography University of the Aegean, Mitilini, Greece	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR ZACHAROULA ANDREOPOULOU Faculty of Forestry and Natural Environment, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR ELECTRA PITOSKA Technological Institute of Florina, Florina, Greece
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR ELENI PAPADOPOULOU School of Urban-Regional Planning & Development Engineering, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR ALEXANDROS APOSTOLAKIS Department of Sciences, Technological Educational Institute of Crete, Heraklion, Greece	PROFESSOR EVIS KUSHI Faculty of Economy, University of Elbasan, Elbasan, Albania
PROFESSOR VASILIKI ARTINOPOULOU Department of Sociology, Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, Athens	Dr PRODRAMOS PRODRAMIDIS Centre for Planning and Economic Research and Athens University of Economics and Business KEPE, Athens, Greece	LECTURER MANTHOS DELIS Faculty of Finance, City University London London, United Kindgom
ASSISTANT PROFESSOR GEORGIOS XANTHOS Department of Sciences, Technological Educational Institute of Crete, Heraklion, Greece	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR GEORGE VOSKOPOULOS University of Macedonia Thessaloniki, Greece	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR ELENI GAKI Department of Business Administration University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR EMMANUEL CHRISTOFAKIS Department of Business Administration University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece	LECTURER VENI ARAKELIAN Department of Economic and Regional Development, Panteion University of Social and Political Sciences, Athens, Greece	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR AMALIA KOTSAKI Department of Architectural Engineering Technical University of Crete, Chania, Greece
Dr STILIANOS ALEXIADIS RSI Journal	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR VASSILIS KEFIS Department of Public Administration Panteion University, Athens, Greece	PROFESSOR STELLA KYVELOU—CHIOTINI School of Sciences of Economy and Public Administration, Department of Economic and Regional Development, Panteion University, Athens, Greece
LECTURER MAARUF ALI Department of Computer Science & Electronic Engineering Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, United Kingdom	PROFESSOR GEORGIOS DOUNIAS University of the Aegean, School of Business, Department of Financial & Management Engineering, Chios	
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR LABROS SDROLIAS Department of Business Administration, School of Business Administration and Economics, Technological Education Institute of Thessaly, Larissa, Greece	PROFESSOR IOANNIS LIRITZIS University of the Aegean, Faculty of Humanities, Department of Mediterranean Studies, Rhodes	
	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR ELIAS PLASKOVITIS Department of Economic and Regional Development, Panteion University, Athens, Greece	

LECTURER ROSA AISA
Department of Economic Analysis
University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

Dr GEORGIOS-ALEXANDROS SGOUROS
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens,
Athens, Greece

Dr BULENT ACMA
Department of Economics, Anadolu University,
Unit of Southeastern Anatolia, Eskisehir, Turkey

PROFESSOR DRITA KRUIA
Faculty of Economics
Shkodra University, Shkodra, Albania

Dr LAMARA HADJOU
University of Tizi Ouzou,
Tizi Ouzou, Algeria

Dr ADRIANUS AMHEKA
State Polytechnic of Kupang,
Penfui, Kupang, Indonesia

Dr KHACHATRYAN NUNE
Head of the scientific research unit
University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

Dr ANDREW FIELDSSEND
Research Institut of Agriculture Economics,
Budapest, Hungary

Dr FUNDA YIRMBESOGLU
Istanbul Technical University, Faculty of Architecture
Office Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey

PROFESSOR MAHAMMAD REZA POURMOHAMMADI
Department of Geography,
University of Tabriz, Iran

Critical Surveys Editors

Lecturer Aspasia Efthimiadou, Dr Sotirios Milionis,
Dr Georgios-Alexandros Sgouros, Dr Stavros
Ntegiannakis, Dr Anastasia Biska, Dr Christos
Genitsaropoulos, Dr Loukas Tzachilas, Maria Goula

Book Review Editors

Dr Dr Katerina Kokkinou, Dr Stilianos Alexiadis,
Dr Elias Grammatikogiannis, Dr Maria Mavragani,
Dimitrios Kouzas, Vilemini Psarrianou, Antonia
Obaintou, Helga Stefansson, Dr Nikolaos Hasanagas,
Maria karagianni

Copy Editors

Professor Georgios Korres, Assistant Professor
Panagiotis Krimpas, Dr Stylianos Alexiadis, Dimitirios
Kouzas, Antonia Obaintou, Dr Thomas Georgiadis
Researcher, Panteion University.

Publisher-Manager

Dr Christos Ap. Ladas



Contents

	Page
Editorial	7
Articles	
1 A NOTE ON THE USE OF AMENITIES TO ATTRACT CREATIVE CLASS MEMBERS TO A CITY, <i>BATABYAL Amitrajeet A., YOO Seung Jick</i>	11
2 HOUSEHOLD IMPACT OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC FROM A DEVELOPMENT ECONOMICS PERSPECTIVE – A REVIEW, <i>EGAMBERDIEV Bekhzod</i>	15
3 DIGITAL ECONOMY WITHIN THE EURASIAN ECONOMIC UNION: CURRENT STATE AND DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS, <i>KALYBEKOVA Dinara, SANSYZBAYEVA Galiya, KOISHYBAEVA Madina, OSPANBEK Tollkyn, KULMAGANBETOVA Aitolkyn</i>	31
4 MODELING LOGISTIC ENTERPRISE RE-LOCATION DECISION BY A NESTED LOGIT MODEL, <i>CAO Y Nguyen</i>	49
5 IMPACT OF SERVICE AND E-SERVICE QUALITY, PRICE AND IMAGE ON THE TRUST AND LOYALTY OF THE ELECTRONIC BANKING CUSTOMERS, <i>ZYBERI Ilirjana, POLO Antoneta</i>	59
6 ENVIRONMENTAL COOPERATION AS A WAY OF DEVELOPING ECO-TOURISM IN THE ARCTIC REGION, <i>IVANOVA Raisa M., SKROBOTOVA Olga V., MARTYNNENKO Nadezhda K., TAMER Olga S., KOZLOV Anatoly V.</i>	69
7 POPULATION ACCESSIBILITY TO RAIL SERVICES. INSIGHTS THROUGH THE LENS OF TERRITORIAL COHESION, <i>CONSTANTIN Daniela- Luminița, NASTACĂ Corina- Cristiana, GEAMBASU Emilia</i>	81
8 ANALYSIS OF URBAN CONNECTIVITY EFFECTS OF THE SOUTHERN FEDERAL DISTRICT , <i>MANAEVA Inna, TKACHEVA Anna</i>	103
9 TECHNOLOGIZATION PROCESSES AND SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: MODELING THE IMPACT AND PRIORITIES FOR STRENGTHENING THE TECHNOLOGICAL COMPETITIVENESS OF THE ECONOMY, <i>VASYLTSIV Taras, MULSKA Olha, PANCHENKO Volodymyr, KOHUT Maryana, ZAYCHENKO Volodymyr, LEVYTSKA Olha</i>	117
10 TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE, TECHNOLOGICAL CATCH-UP AND MARKET POTENTIAL: EVIDENCE FROM THE EU REGIONS, <i>KALLIORAS Dimitris, TZEREMES Nickolaos, TZEREMES Panayiotis, ADAMAKOU Maria</i>	135
11 TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT IN PUBLIC SECTOR, CASE STUDY: CUSTOMS SERVICE, <i>DIONYSOPOULOU Panagiota, SVARNIAS Georgios, PAPAILIAS Theodore</i>	153
12 MODELING OF SYSTEM FACTORS OF FINANCIAL SECURITY OF AGRICULTURAL ENTERPRISES OF UKRAINE, <i>TRUSOVA Natalia V., PRYSTEMSKYI Oleksandr S., HRYVKIVSKA Oksana V., SAKUN Alina Zh., KYRYLOV Yurii Y.</i>	169

MODELING OF SYSTEM FACTORS OF FINANCIAL SECURITY OF AGRICULTURAL ENTERPRISES OF UKRAINE

Natalia V. TRUSOVA

Professor at the Department of Finance, Banking and Insurance, Dmytro Motornyi Tavria State
Agrotechnological University, Melitopol, Ukraine
n.trusova@tanu.pro

Oleksandr S. PRYSTEMSKYI

Professor at the Department of Accounting and Taxation, Kherson State Agrarian and Economic
University, Kherson, Ukraine
prystemskyi5612@unesp.co.uk

Oksana V. HRYVKIVSKA

Head of the Department of Economics, European University, Kyiv, Ukraine
oks.hryvkivska@uohk.com.cn

Alina Zh. SAKUN

Associate Professor at the Department of Accounting and Taxation, Kherson State Agrarian and
Economic University, Kherson, Ukraine
sakun5395@national-university.info

Yurii Y. KYRYLOV

Professor at the Department of Public Management and Administration, Kherson State Agrarian and
Economic University, Kherson, Ukraine
prof.kyrylov@tanu.pro

Abstract

The article considers the process of modeling of systemic factors of financial security of agricultural enterprises of Ukraine. The methodology of complex, systematic assessment of fiscal security and mathematical tools in the deterministic space of the financial system of enterprises are substantiated. A systematic approach is used, which determines the quantitative and qualitative parameters of external and internal threats, identifies the threshold interval of stable financial condition and stable development of agricultural enterprises. Systemic factors of stimulating and disincentive character for an estimation of financial safety of the agricultural enterprises are developed. A set of indicators for the analysis of the state of the functional components of financial security, provided by the process of neutralization of real and potential threats to the stable potential of financial security of enterprises is determined. The expediency of normalization of indicators is substantiated, their threshold values, weights and capital structure are taken into account when calculating the integrated level of financial security. It is proved that the introduction of systemic factors in the general level of financial security allows increasing the level of financial stability and reliability of agricultural enterprises.

Keywords: financial security, potential of financial security, efficiency, threats, agricultural enterprises, financial condition, financial balance.

JEL classification: G01, G21, G32, H12, Q14

1. Introduction

Financial instability, economic contradictions and objective inevitable transformation processes that have arisen in the agricultural sector of the economy require in-depth study of financial security of agricultural enterprises, the determined level of financial condition of which does not provide stable protection from external and internal threats. Accordingly, the financial philosophy of sustainable growth of agricultural entities needs to reconsider the priorities of their financial security to strengthen the national and food security of the state.

In the period of formation of regularities of natural connection between components of financial safety of subjects of agricultural development, the material basis of their financial

potential in the form of system, which consists of finite number of elements and has accurately expressed properties of financial resources, is allocated. This proves that the interconnected and interdependent specific features of the systemic factors of financial security determine the formation of the total amount of financial resources for the continuous movement of financial flows and ensure the reproduction process of the financial cycle. The study of financial security of agricultural enterprises is provided within the framework of several theories that use the systematization of knowledge and ways to transfer this knowledge to the multidisciplinary stages of the reproduction process of stable financial condition of economic entities. Fixation of stages in this context embodies the cognitive process of building a stable model of financial development of economic entities.

The theoretical foundations of financial security were researched by O. Baranovskiy (2004), A. Gukova and I. Anikina (2006), I. Komarnytskyi (2010), A. Sukhorukov and O. Ladiuk (2007), F. Fafurida, A.B. Setiawan and S. Oktavilia (2019); development of measures to ensure financial security in the agricultural sector of the economy was studied by V. Arefiev (2010), O. Vdovenko (2014), O. Hryvkivska (2012), O. Hudz (2013), N. Davydenko (2013), M. Demianenko and O. Zuieva (2010) and others. The concept of formation of financial security of agriculture in the context of financial activity of agrarian enterprises is considered W. Coleman, G. Skogstad and M. Atkinson (1996), C.-H. Ling, H.-L. Yang and D.-Y. Liou (2009), W. Moyer and T. Josling (2002), M. Petrick (2003) as a regulatory function of economic entities development with a justification of the principles of protection of their livelihoods; O. Zhydyak (2013), V. Korneyev (2009), T. Kuzenko (2010) as an assessment of the sectoral level of financial support for the industry and the development of a strategy for financial security of agrarian enterprises in the regions; O. Baranovskiy (2004), M. Yermoshenko (2001), S. Frunza (2010) as a priority of ensuring the national and individual interests of the subjects through overcoming financial contradictions, the formation of effective capital and state control over its use, the formation of macro and microfinance stability.

Financial security is often studied from the prognostic point of view of the possible influence of the external and internal environment on the formation of a stable financial condition and ensuring the financial stability of business entities that have developed in the works of C. Balomenou and M. Maliari (2013), V. Boronos (2011), V. Heyts (2009), H. Kramarenko (2003), H. Chesbrough (2010), A. Pantazis and T. Pelagidis (2017), L. Leyfer (2003), J. Gaspar, P. Vasconcelos and O. Afonso (2014). However, the scientific views of these researchers do not fully cover the current risks of transformational trends in the agricultural sector of the economy, based on the principles and patterns of strengthening the financial security. This complex and multifaceted issue is considered by individual elements and levels (Dovgal et al., 2017; Mohammad Aliha et al., 2019). This complicates its comprehensive study as a single system and the establishment of existing intersectoral correlations.

The priority of our study is to substantiate the methodology and mathematical tools for modeling the systemic factors of financial security of agricultural enterprises, which based on a systematic approach, determine quantitative and qualitative parameters of external and internal threats to identify the threshold interval of their stable financial condition and stable development.


2. Materials and Methods

Financial security is a platform for the mechanism of stable development of the entity, which identifies protective tools and measures for the rational use of financial resources, enhanced by the high dynamism of market relations, the constant generation in this process of new information about the nature of risk. Naturally, in the conditions of formation of a new model of financial security of agricultural enterprises, most of them did not show the ability to systemic changes in future development, financial opportunities and strengthening of a stable financial condition. Accordingly, external and internal risk factors that disrupt the normal functioning of the process of reproduction of financial resources cause uncertainty and threats of loss of positive results, irrational use of equity and debt capital, violation of dividend and depreciation policy, communication management of financial flows, financial reserves, receivables and payables, profit distribution. The lack of methods of normalization of

financial security subsystems in this aspect does not allow generating sufficient financial resources and ensuring the restoration of important economic and financial relations.

The level of financial security of economic entities should be considered in terms of a systematic approach, which embodies a number of elements of an arbitrary set that interact as a whole and function in accordance with certain patterns inherent in this complex. From the standpoint of emergence, financial security is characterized by the quality of synergy, which in the general theory of systems forms the interdependence of the elements of the system, providing a comprehensive effect. That is, the level of financial security has all the properties of a cybernetic system, in particular the presence of information channels between its individual elements; multivariate behavior of the system; controllability and purposefulness of the system, which in some way interacts with the aggressive environment (Fig. 1).

Figure 1: Interaction of financial security of the agricultural enterprise and the external environment



To improve the financial security of agricultural enterprises, we have proposed a model system of factors that, through analyzing and summation of individual functional criteria k_i , ((as the ratio of the possible value of the entity z_i (liquidity, financial stability, business activity and profitability) to the value of the components of financial security), prevents the emergence of threats to a stable financial condition s_i , i.e. (Korneyev, 2009; Marta-Costa et al., 2012):

$$k_i = \frac{z_i}{s_i}, \quad i = \overline{1, n}. \quad (1)$$

where, n – the number of functional components of the financial security of the agricultural enterprise.

In this case, the integrated indicator of the level of financial security of any business entity I will be calculated as (Hreshchak et al., 1999; Kim et al., 1989):

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i k_i, \quad (2)$$

where, λ_i – the share of significance of the i -th functional component.

Systemic factors may differ depending on the specifics of the operation of the business entity, as well as change for the same entity at different stages of its life cycle. Accordingly, the level of financial security will have the following functional dependence (Oleynikova, 1997):

$$I = \alpha_1 f(x_1) + \alpha_2 f(x_2) + \dots + \alpha_n f(x_n) \quad (3)$$

where, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n – performance indicators of the agricultural enterprise (financial security indicators); $f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)$ – local functions of dependence of the level of financial security on the relevant indicators of the agricultural enterprise; $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ – systemic factors that reflect the importance of each indicator to ensure the financial security of the entity.

It is proposed to determine the system factors α_i for the degree of achievement by the business entity of such an “ideal” state, which is the best in terms of development dynamics and performance. This “ideal” state is set by the limit values of indicators of financial condition, exceeding or underestimating of which negatively affects the ability of agricultural

enterprises to develop. That is, indicators of the level of financial security are normalized values of indicators of financial condition (stability) (Shlemko and Binko, 1997):

$$z_i = \left(\frac{P_{if}}{P_{ig}} \right)^b, \quad (4)$$

where, P_{if} , P_{ig} – respectively, the actual and limit values of the i -th indicator; b – an indicator of the degree.

The exponent b in model (4) takes two values: for stimulatory factors it is equal to 1, for destimulatory factors it is equal to -1. The limit values of the indicators are determined based on the condition of the minimum permissible level of safety. The range of possible values of each indicator is divided into 5 intervals (Reverchuk, 2004):

$$[x_{gr}^n, x_{por}^n), [x_{por}^n, x_{opt}^n), [x_{opt}^n, x_{opt}^v), [x_{opt}^v, x_{por}^v), [x_{por}^v, x_{gr}^v), \quad (5)$$

where, x_{gr}^n , x_{gr}^v – the minimum and maximum value (or lower and upper limit) of the indicator of financial security of the agricultural enterprise; x_{por}^n, x_{por}^v – respectively lower and upper threshold values of the indicator; x_{opt}^n, x_{opt}^v – minimum and maximum interval of optimal values of the indicator. The value x_{opt}^n can be equal to x_{opt}^v , then the interval $[x_{opt}^n, x_{opt}^v]$ turns into a point x_{opt} . The values $x_{gr}^n, x_{por}^n, x_{opt}^n, x_{gr}^v, x_{por}^v, x_{opt}^v$ in model (5) are determined by the expert method. Normalized values of financial security level indicators are calculated as follows (Reverchuk, 2004):

$$z_i = \begin{cases} \frac{x_i - x_{gr}^n}{x_{por}^n - x_{gr}^n}, & x_{gr}^n \leq x_i \leq x_{por}^n; \\ \frac{(x_i - x_{por}^n) + x_{opt}^n (x_{opt}^n - x_i)}{x_{opt}^n - x_{por}^n}, & x_{por}^n \leq x_i \leq x_{opt}^n; \\ 1, & x_{opt}^n \leq x_i \leq x_{opt}^v; \\ \frac{x_{opt}^v (x_i - x_{opt}^v) + (x_{por}^v - x_i)}{x_{opt}^v - x_{por}^v}, & x_{opt}^v \leq x_i \leq x_{por}^v; \\ \frac{x_{gr}^v - x_i}{x_{gr}^v - x_{por}^v}, & x_{por}^v \leq x_i \leq x_{gr}^v, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where, $x_n^* = 0$ and $x_v^* = 0$.

After finding the normative value of indicators, a comprehensive rating indicator of the level of financial security of the enterprise is calculated (Sheremet and Sayfulin, 1995):

$$I = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m (1 - z_i)^2}, \quad (7)$$

where, m – the number of indicators of the financial condition of the enterprise; z_i – normalized values of indicators of the financial condition of the enterprise.

In this case, we propose to consider the financial condition of the enterprise $S(t)$ at the time t as a set of such indicators (diamond of the financial condition of the enterprise) (Kyzym et al., 2003):

$$S(t) = \{O(t), L(t), D(t), H(t)\}, t \in [0, T], \quad (8)$$

where, $O(t)$ – the value of the turnover of capital of the enterprise (turnover ratio of current assets); $L(t)$ – the value of the liquidity ratio of the capital of the enterprise (total coverage ratio); $D(t)$ – the value of the rate of return on capital of the enterprise (profitability ratio); $H(t)$ – the value of the capital independence of the enterprise (the ratio of equity and borrowed capital).

To assess the dynamics of the financial condition of the enterprise it is necessary to compare the actual indicators of the diamond of the financial condition with their base platform (values of past periods). Then the model of the dynamics of the financial condition of the enterprise $I(t)$ will look like (Kyzym et al., 2003):

$$I(t) = \left\{ \frac{O_f(t)}{O_b(t)}, \frac{L_f(t)}{L_b(t)}, \frac{D_f(t)}{D_b(t)}, \frac{H_f(t)}{H_b(t)} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

where, $O_f(t)$, $L_f(t)$, $D_f(t)$, $H_f(t)$ – the actual values of indicators that characterize the financial condition of the enterprise at the time t ; $O_b(t)$, $L_b(t)$, $D_b(t)$, $H_b(t)$ – basic values of indicators that characterize the financial condition of the enterprise at the time t .

The set of indicators of financial condition, which are included in the model design of system factors must contain an integral limit distance of the real level of financial security of the enterprise in relation to the “reference”, which should be equal to (Trusova et al., 2019):

$$d(A_i, A_0) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_{ij} - x_{0j})^2}, \quad (10)$$

where, $d(A_i, A_0)$ – the integral marginal distance of the level of financial condition of the enterprise in relation to the “reference”; x_{ij} – the value of the j -th indicator for the i -th enterprise; x_{0j} – the value of the j -th indicator, which corresponds to the “reference” (normative value of the indicator).

The integrated indicator $d(A_i, A_0)$ shows the level of deviation of the financial condition of the i -th enterprise from the “reference” level of financial security. If $d(A_i, A_0) = 0$, it means that the company has achieved the optimal value of security. An increase in the distance from the “reference” value in the dynamics indicates deterioration in financial condition and a decrease in the level of financial security. Values x_{0j} are critical and are determined by the principle of deviation of the indicator of the level of financial security of the enterprise (liquidity, financial stability and profitability) from the “reference”.

This methodological approach makes it possible to model the systemic factors that provide a stable level of financial security in order to form the total value of gross investment of the enterprise and the resources needed for investment support and development of economic entities (Kozachenko et al., 2003):

$$I_t = \frac{BI_t}{IP_t}, \quad (10)$$

where, BI_t – gross investment of the enterprise at the time t ; IP_t – investment of the enterprise at the time t , necessary to ensure financial security.

In the case when the value I_t is close to one, it indicates a high level of financial security of the enterprise. In this case, the overall financial security potential of agricultural enterprises will be manifested as the competitive status of the total amount of financial investments (KSP) (Gukova and Anikina, 2006):

$$KSP = \frac{(I_f - I_k)}{(I_o - I_k)} \times \left(\frac{S_f}{S_o}\right) \times \left(\frac{C_f}{C_o}\right), \quad (11)$$

where, I_f – the actual level of strategic financial investment of the enterprise; I_o – the optimal amount of strategic financial investment of the enterprise; I_k – the minimum critical level of strategic financial investments of the enterprise; S_f, S_o – parameters of the current and optimal strategy of the enterprise; C_f, C_o – parameters of the existing and optimal potential of financial security of the enterprise.

In addition, a characteristic feature of the overall potential of financial security is the diagnosis of the functionality of the enterprise through the indicators of compliance of the i -th element of financing (Gukova and Anikina, 2006):

$$P = \sqrt[m]{\prod_{i=1}^m PV_i}, \quad (12)$$

where, P – the state of financial capabilities of the enterprise; PV_i – indicator of compliance of the i -th element of financing of functional capabilities and stable development (investment) of the enterprise; m – the number of functional capabilities of the enterprise.

The indicator of compliance of the i -th element of financing to the functionality and stable investment of the enterprise PV_i is determined as follows (Shkarlet, 2007):

$$PV_i = \sqrt[n]{\prod_{j=1}^n R_{ij}}, \quad (13)$$

where, R_{ij} – indicator of the i -th element of financing of functional capabilities and stable development (investment) of the enterprise, compliance with the provision of its j -th financial resource; k_i^s – the coefficient of significance of the i -th element of financing the functionality of the financial security potential of the enterprise; k_j^v – the coefficient of compliance of the j -th resource with the requirements that meet the stable development (investment) of the enterprise; k_{ij}^z – the coefficient of security of the i -th element of financing of functional capabilities of j -th financial resource.

$$R_{ij} = k_i^s \cdot k_j^v \cdot k_{ij}^z \quad (14)$$

A quantitative feature of estimating the total value of the financial security potential of the enterprise is the difference between the consolidated value of the total amount of income and expenses (Shkarlet, 2007):

$$V = \frac{D}{R_{mr} \cdot K_d} - (V_{na} + V_{ma}), \quad (15)$$

where, V – a generalized assessment of the potential of financial security; D – income (net financial (cash) flow) of the enterprise; R_{mtr} – the average industry level of profitability of economic activity; K_a – the coefficient of profitability of economic activity of the enterprise; V_{na} – the total value of all assets of the enterprise less tangible assets; V_{ma} – the total value of all tangible assets of the enterprise.

This difference reflects the balance of value (usefulness), which cannot be attributed to any of the assets of the enterprise. At the same time, the use of systemic factors of financial security determines the definition of qualitative and basic parameters of the process of managing the value of financial flows, thus providing a comprehensive assessment and multifactor modeling of the stable financial condition of agricultural entities. Accordingly, the variability of financial transactions of economic entities should cover all possible changes in the structure of sources of financing. First, each financial transaction determines the cycle of financial flow, in the process of which there are changes in the composition of financial resources and sources of funding. Second, the total amount of financing changes when financial transactions provide a regrouping of the structure of property assets (Pelagidis and Tsahali, 2019; Mohammad Aliha et al., 2018; Hasyim et al., 2019; Koudoumakis et al., 2019). That is, this variability of operations is determined by the need to finance variable costs and costs associated with the replacement of machinery and equipment (Pantazis and Pelagidis, 2017). Third, the balance between financial resources and their sources must be maintained after any financial transaction. This equality arises with the redistribution of financial resources, i.e. with an increase or decrease in the amount of financial potential, which significantly affects the integrated level of financial security of agricultural enterprises (Trusova, 2016).

Thus, from the standpoint of a complete approach to modeling the systemic factors of financial security of agricultural enterprises, we propose to use a multiplicative function that identifies local indicators (systemic stimulants, the growth of which has a positive effect on the aggregate indicator) of development of economic entities, taking into account possible threats to the functionality of the economic process:

$$B_t = \prod_{i=1}^n u_{ti}, \quad t = \overline{1, T} \quad (16)$$

where, n – the total number of indicators that characterize the potential of financial security of the enterprise ($n = 56$); t – time period number; v_{ti} – the value of the i -th indicator that characterizes the activities of the enterprise at the time t ,

$$u_{ti} = \frac{v_{ti}}{\max_{t \in [1, T]} v_{ti}}, \quad (17)$$

$t = \overline{1, T}$, $i = \overline{1, n}$ – the threshold criteria of each indicator that characterizes the financial security potential of the enterprise.

Values u_{ti} characterize the relative deviation of the value of each financial security indicator relative to its maximum level for the period $[1, T]$.

At the same time, the possibility of the potential of financial security, during which a certain amount of balances of financial resources may be in non-monetary form, should be aimed at making short-term financial investments. The generalized level of financial security should cover inflation losses from the depreciation of the national currency and provide investment income, in accordance with the target or actual level of profitability.

3. Results and Discussion

Agriculture, which is a rather specific sector of the economy and has a number of features, has a significant impact on sectoral aspects of agricultural enterprises. The study of the parameters of systemic factors of financial security of economic entities of the Steppe zone allowed identifying their relationship with macroeconomic processes that affect the efficiency of entities (Table 1).

Table 1: Interdependence of profitability and indicators of financial condition of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine on average for 2016-2019

Indicators	Groups of enterprises by the level of profitability of operating activities								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
The share of enterprises in the group, %	4.1	5.7	19.8	22.8	24.4	14.6	2.2	3.6	2.8
The level of profitability, %:									
- of operating activities	-19.3	0.0	2.9	15.6	24.9	36.6	47.0	57.1	63.8
- of capital use	-22.9	-13.1	2.3	9.3	15.6	22.1	20.9	30.4	27.8
The number of assets turnover per year	0.71	0.96	1.12	1.22	1.88	1.82	1.34	0.81	0.69
- of cash	0.88	1.18	1.29	1.63	2.46	1.24	1.01	0.78	0.41
Per capita per 1 hectare of agricultural land, USD:									
- income	4643	5963	5428	7350	9702	18455	13013	7937	6014
- net profit	-1120	-330	244	582	1520	3179	2799	3562	5277
- assets	3673	3999	5994	9576	10988	14360	18909	9141	8496
Financial independence ratio	-0.34	0.00	0.55	0.71	0.72	0.83	0.83	0.95	0.71
Coverage ratio	0.78	1.54	10.38	17.28	10.56	1.80	22.03	14.66	3.11
Rapid solvency ratio	0.34	1.54	2.95	4.97	2.48	1.53	19.62	8.85	2.85
Depreciation rate of fixed assets	0.75	0.67	0.59	0.51	0.49	0.51	0.42	0.41	0.42
The ratio of maneuverability of working capital	-1.54	-1.20	0.27	0.43	0.58	1.04	0.84	0.93	0.54

Thus, the insufficient level of efficient activity of agricultural enterprises of groups I and II is the cause of loss of equity, deterioration of the structure of sources of financing, insufficient provision of economic resources and other processes. At the same time, the destabilization of the financial condition of enterprises worsens the conditions of their operation and leads to insolvency, reduced investment attractiveness and creditworthiness and, consequently, makes it impossible to attract financial resources from external sources. Improving the concentration of capital in general has a positive effect on the financial condition and efficiency of agricultural enterprises (Table 2). At the same time, due to its excessive increase, the effectiveness of such influence is lost. This is explained by the fact that in some enterprises the attraction of additional capital is not supported by its rational investment in the acquisition of fixed and current assets, as well as ensuring their effective use in the economic process.

Table 2: The impact of capital concentration on the financial condition of agricultural enterprises in the Steppe zone of Ukraine on average for 2016-2019

Indicators	Groups of enterprises by level of capital concentration per 1 ha of agricultural land								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Share of enterprises, %	3.2	1.6	11.5	18.2	7.8	24.5	4.7	18.7	9.8
Limits of fluctuations in capital concentration, thousand USD	≤ 1.50	1.51-3.00	3.01-4.50	4.51-6.00	6.01-7.50	7.51-9.00	9.01-10.50	10.51-12.00	> 12.00
Average cost of capital, USD	1112	2299	3677	5176	6972	7906	9150	11051	13623
Financial independence ratio	0.50	0.52	0.54	0.71	0.80	0.82	0.60	0.42	0.39
Financial stability ratio	0.58	0.62	0.63	0.83	0.77	0.83	0.81	0.67	0.41
Investment ratio	6.87	4.61	3.13	2.56	2.06	1.62	2.21	1.55	0.96
Current liabilities coverage ratio	3.33	7.62	8.31	12.24	7.74	6.84	6.29	7.28	2.13
Rapid solvency ratio	2.12	4.17	1.19	3.10	1.84	2.11	2.27	3.23	1.19
Absolute solvency ratio	0.30	2.21	1.09	2.27	0.28	0.53	0.12	0.50	0.06
Maneuverability ratio of current assets	0.36	0.61	0.25	0.53	0.65	0.53	0.42	0.60	-0.52
Suitability ratio of fixed assets	0.63	0.58	0.55	0.53	0.56	0.43	0.54	0.58	0.37
Assets turnover ratio	2.23	1.01	0.75	0.58	0.64	0.46	0.46	0.28	0.53

Indicators	Groups of enterprises by level of capital concentration per 1 ha of agricultural land								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Per 1 ha of agricultural land, USD:	1743	2025	2369	2602	3769	3906	3657	2857	4164
- income									
- operating activities	98	355	427	599	805	740	1160	960	-113
The level of profitability of operating activities, %	6.51	19.26	21.52	25.74	21.08	28.45	20.88	20.95	-0.86

Thus, when the concentration of capital increases to 6-9 thousand USD per 1 hectare of agricultural land independence and resilience are strengthened, and then there is a reverse process. In addition, the growth of capitalization to the level of 9 thousand USD per 1 ha of agricultural land leads to improved performance and increased operating income, while with a further increase in concentration, the efficiency of its use is significantly reduced. A similar trend is observed for the profitability indicator of operating activities. At the same time, the straightforward relationship between sales revenue and capital concentration is stronger, as the correlation coefficient reaches 0.87. As the level of debt increases, the coefficient of financial stability decreases, which in 6-9 groups of agricultural enterprises becomes critical (Table 3) and is accompanied by deterioration in the level of investment and maneuverability.

Table 3: Relationship of debt with indicators of financial stability of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine on average for 2016-2019

Indicators	Groups of enterprises by value of debt ratio								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Share of enterprises, %	3.4	11.6	5.4	7.3	24.5	26.7	12.4	4.9	3.8
Limits of debt ratio	≤ 0.125	0.126-0.250	0.251-0.375	0.376-0.500	0.501-0.675	0.676-0.750	0.751-0.875	0.876-1.000	> 1.000
Debt ratio	0.07	0.19	0.32	0.45	0.54	0.70	0.83	0.97	1.12
Financial stability ratio	0.94	0.87	0.73	0.59	0.57	0.35	0.26	0.25	0.21
Short-term debt ratio in debt capital	0.88	0.64	0.82	0.92	0.80	0.93	0.89	0.77	0.71
Investment ratio	6.27	2.45	2.29	1.69	1.86	1.35	0.65	0.23	-0.68
Equity maneuverability ratio	0.55	0.46	0.39	0.22	0.37	-0.39	-0.49	-	-
Current assets maneuverability ratio	0.88	0.62	0.49	0.07	0.16	-0.87	-0.13	-0.07	-0.10
Fixed assets suitability ratio	0.58	0.59	0.46	0.47	0.55	0.61	0.60	0.45	0.55
Ratio of fixed assets security with current assets	4.83	1.70	1.95	1.91	2.73	3.11	2.78	6.14	6.05
Current share of retained earnings in equity	35.7	33.0	31.6	23.0	38.2	35.5	-14.4	-71.2	-

Thus, in 7-9 groups the investment ratio does not reach one, in 6-9 groups there is an unsatisfactory level of maneuverability of equity and current assets. Negative dynamics is observed in the enterprises of groups 4-9 due to irrational placement of borrowed funds in assets, because equity is not enough to finance non-current assets and inventories, which indicates a lack of financial stability. In farms of groups 7-9, the amount of financing does not provide financial stability, even when using a combination of long-term liabilities and short-term bank lending. A stronger relationship is observed between financial stability and the efficiency of agricultural enterprises (Figs. 2-3). However, the increase in the level of debt is accompanied by a decrease in the profitability of assets and operating activities, as well as the share of operating profit in income.

This connection is quite logical, because, on the one hand, borrowing requires interest, which reduces the efficiency of their operation, and on the other - the low level of profitability makes it difficult to form their own financial sources due to lack of capitalized income.

Figure 2: Interdependence of financial stability and indicators of financial condition of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine on average for 2016-2019






Figure 3: Interdependence of financial stability and effective activity of the agricultural enterprise of the Steppe zone of Ukraine on the average for 2016-2019



Important systemic factors-disincentives of financial security of economic entities of the Steppe zone of Ukraine are the lack of relationship between the level of mobility and the structure of current assets (Fig. 4). Thus, in general, the level of asset mobility for the period 2016-2019 ranged from 6.6 to 95.0%, in more than 50% enterprises it ranged from 34.9 to 54.9%.

Figure 4: Interdependence between mobility and structure of current assets of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone on average for 2016-2019



At the same time, the share of total and overdue receivables in current assets fluctuated in groups from 17.7 to 36.3% and from 10.1 to 18.7%; the share of cash in assets in these groups – from 0.4 to 4.9% and did not have a clear trend.

The stochastic nature of forecasting external and internal threats to identify the threshold interval of stable financial condition and stable development of agricultural enterprises allows identifying many factors that discourage financial security by reducing indicators such as: return on assets (deterioration occurs due to reduced efficiency and crisis in financial condition); debt ratio (deterioration of the structure of liabilities and the emergence of a crisis of funding sources); indicator of adequacy of financing of illiquid assets (indicator of threat to financial stability and asset formation); asset turnover ratio (slowdown indicates the emergence of a liquidity threat); the coefficient of maneuverability of current assets (signals the threat to liquidity and solvency).

The assessment of the level of financial security of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine was carried out by an integrated method with their division into clusters based on the Euclidean distance method. The financial security potential for a group of enterprises is the sum of standardized coefficients for each indicator parameter, which has a distance from 0.79 to 3.62 and is divided into five clusters (Table 4).

Table 4: Clustering of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine according to the potential of financial security

Clusters	Share of cluster enterprises in total, %	Average value of standardized indicators by clusters					Sum of standardized indicators (financial security potential)
		Profitability on assets	Debt ratio	Adequacy of financing of illiquid assets	Asset turnover	Maneuverability of current assets	
I	2.08	0.25	0.15	0.30	0.20	0.21	1.11
II	14.58	0.45	0.46	0.29	0.18	0.36	1.74
III	33.33	0.51	0.72	0.35	0.15	0.50	2.23
IV	42.82	0.58	0.86	0.40	0.21	0.67	2.72
V	7.18	0.72	0.92	0.55	0.32	0.73	3.24

According to the sum of squares of deviations of indicators for each cluster from the sample average, intra-cluster and aggregate variance (potential of financial security of agricultural enterprises) was determined, with the distribution of indicators of financial condition and stable development into three groups (Table 5). The first group is the threshold criteria that have slight deviations from the reference level of financial condition. The second group – relatively significant indicators, which include eight criteria, according to which the difference in the amount of intracluster variance is 30-40% less than the total variance. The third group – significant criteria, which include the ten most influential indicators of financial security.

Table 5: Analysis of variance in clustering of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine by systemic factors of financial security

Indicators	Dispersion of indicators		Deviation	
	by clusters	by aggregate	+,-	%
Financial stability ratio	14.0	33.2	19.1	57.6
Investment ratio	583.9	929.3	345.4	37.2
Coverage ratio	3409.6	5846.7	2437.3	41.7
Rapid solvency ratio	404.0	620.8	216.8	34.9
Inventory coverage ratio	2364.9	3676.5	1311.6	35.9
Ratio of provision of fixed assets with working capital	405.2	639.0	233.8	36.6
Share of retained earnings in liabilities, %	13.4	26.9	13.5	50.1
Share of short-term debt in liabilities	13.8	33.0	19.2	58.1
Share of operating income in income	12.4	18.6	6.2	33.4
Share of net profit in income	12.8	21.8	9.0	41.2
Profitability of operating activities, %	9.4	15.0	5.6	37.4
Profitability of economic activity, %	9.2	16.9	7.7	45.7
Profitability of fixed assets, %	80.0	134.8	54.8	40.6
Provision per 1 hectare of agricultural land, thousand USD:				
- borrowed capital	74.2	107.3	33.1	30.8
- short-term loans	43.9	65.7	21.8	33.2
- working capital	90.4	179.4	89.0	49.6
Per 1 ha of agricultural land, thousand USD:				
- operating profit	14.8	26.4	11.6	44.0
- net profit	13.4	27.0	13.6	50.4

The set of threshold criteria for the three groups (18 criteria) allowed to predict 7 important ones, which allow to stabilize the level of financial security and functionality of the economic process of agricultural enterprises (Table 6). To predict the integrated level of financial security of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone, a multiplicative function (23) was used, its value is a number from the interval [0, 1). The higher the value B_t , the more stable the financial condition of enterprises (characterized by a higher level of financial security).

Table 6: Forecast normalized values of indicators that characterize the level of financial security of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine

Indicator number (t)	u_{t1}	u_{t2}	u_{t3}	u_{t4}	u_{t5}	u_{t6}	u_{t7}	Forecast of the integrated level of financial security, B_t
1	0.8611	0.8750	0.8521	1.0000	1.0000	0.3860	0.4394	0.1089
2	0.6944	1.0000	0.8170	1.0000	0.9780	0.5545	0.4451	0.1369
3	0.8889	0.8125	0.7043	0.9908	0.9780	0.3496	0.6818	0.1175
4	1.0000	0.8438	0.7477	0.9908	0.9780	0.5842	0.7519	0.2685
5	0.8056	0.8750	0.6057	0.9908	0.9610	0.7945	0.9905	0.3199
6	0.7500	0.9844	0.7878	0.9908	0.9780	1.0000	0.8371	0.4718
7	0.8611	0.8438	0.9236	0.9259	0.8776	0.4396	0.7595	0.1820
8	0.8333	0.7500	0.8246	0.9259	0.8888	0.7041	0.8201	0.2449
9	0.7778	0.8125	0.8739	0.9259	0.8849	0.5964	0.9867	0.2663
10	0.7222	0.9063	0.7962	0.9259	0.8961	0.6320	0.9034	0.2468
11	0.8056	0.8281	0.8037	0.8980	0.8698	0.5019	1.0000	0.2102
12	0.7500	0.8438	0.6583	0.8980	0.8810	0.6597	0.7803	0.1697
13	0.8056	0.7969	0.8647	0.8980	0.8839	0.4825	0.8883	0.1888
14	0.8056	0.8906	0.8956	0.8980	0.8976	0.6588	0.6951	0.2372
15	0.8611	0.7969	0.9683	0.8697	0.8683	0.5614	0.5398	0.1520
16	0.7778	0.7500	0.9474	0.8697	0.8898	0.5670	0.5303	0.1286
17	0.7222	0.9219	1.0000	0.8697	0.9039	0.5617	0.5644	0.1659
18	0.8333	0.9531	0.8246	0.8697	0.9127	0.7813	0.6231	0.2531
$\max_{t \in [1, T]} v_{ti}$	0.8035	0.9697	0.7519	0.8476	0.9167	0.9068	0.6620	0.2733

The choice of the “best” predicted model of systemic factors of financial security is made based on the values of the coefficient of determination. The best polynomial models look like:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{t1} &= 0.671002 + 0.072959t - 0.010537t^2 + 0.000564t^3 - 0.000010t^4; \\ \tilde{u}_{t2} &= 0.827996 + 0.031224t - 0.004522t^2 + 0.000160t^3; \\ \tilde{u}_{t3} &= 0.619719 + 0.030863t + 0.006400t^2 - 0.001896t^3 + 0.000145t^4 - 0.000003t^5; \\ \tilde{u}_{t4} &= 1.026147 - 0.008501t; \\ \tilde{u}_{t5} &= 0.968386 + 0.027777t - 0.007036t^2 + 0.000448t^3 - 0.000009t^4; \\ \tilde{u}_{t6} &= 0.314741 + 0.040979t + 0.007288t^2 - 0.001151t^3 + 0.000037t^4; \\ \tilde{u}_{t7} &= 0.392985 - 0.040300t + 0.029750t^2 - 0.002693t^3 + 0.000067t^4. \end{aligned}$$

Forecast normalized values of indicators that characterize the level of financial security of enterprises have the following values:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{18(1)} &= 0.671002 + 0.072959 \times 18 - 0.010537 \times 18^2 + 0.000564 \times 18^3 - 0.000010 \times 18^4 = 0.8035; \\ \tilde{u}_{18(2)} &= 0.827996 + 0.031224 \times 18 - 0.004522 \times 18^2 + 0.000160 \times 18^3 = 0.9697; \\ \tilde{u}_{18(3)} &= 0.619719 + 0.030863 \times 18 + 0.006400 \times 18^2 - 0.001896 \times 18^3 + 0.000145 \times 18^4 - 0.000003 \times 18^5 = 0.7519; \\ \tilde{u}_{18(4)} &= 1.026147 - 0.008501 \times 18 = 0.8476; \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{u}_{18(5)} = 0.968386 + 0.027777 \times 18 - 0.007036 \times 18^2 + 0.000448 \times 18^3 - 0.000009 \times 18^4 = 0.9167$$

$$\tilde{u}_{18(6)} = 0.314741 + 0.040979 \times 18 + 0.007288 \times 18^2 - 0.001151 \times 18^3 + 0.000037 \times 18^4 = 0.9068$$


$$\tilde{u}_{18(7)} = 0.392985 - 0.040300 \times 18 + 0.029750 \times 18^2 - 0.002693 \times 18^3 + 0.000067 \times 18^4 = 0.6620$$

The forecast value of the integrated level of financial security of agricultural enterprises in the short term will be equal to:

$$B_{18} = 0.8035 \times 0.9697 \times 0.7519 \times 0.8476 \times 0.9167 \times 0.9068 \times 0.6620 = 0.2733$$

We should note that the forecast value of the integrated indicator is higher than several previous ones, which indicates a tendency to increase the level of financial security of enterprises in the Steppe zone of Ukraine. This is facilitated by the accelerated growth of accounts payable, equity and profits. Tendencies to reduce the value of fixed assets and income will have a negative impact on the potential of financial security. Graphic interpretation of the forecast change in the integrated level of financial security of agricultural enterprises for 2020-2024 is shown in Fig. 5.

Figure 5: Graphic interpretation of the dynamics of the level of financial security of agricultural enterprises of the Steppe zone of Ukraine



Thus, the process of ensuring the financial security of agricultural entities faces the need to radically reconsider the key interests of agricultural enterprises on the formation of the necessary and reproducible level of financial support, which should justify the implementation of functional elements of financial condition and protection of effective activities from internal and external threats.

4. Conclusions

Stable functioning of agricultural enterprises is impossible without forecasting trends in their economic development, because factors such as changes in the international market, declining production, inflation, and the dynamics of non-payment are increasingly becoming decisive. Therefore, ensuring financial security and stable development of economic entities in agriculture of Ukraine should be based on adequate financial policy, the availability of the necessary financial and credit institutions, the use of means, methods and techniques to ensure it. This should take into account the irreversible, directed and natural change in agricultural development, which results in a new qualitative state of the economic process and a viable cycle of financial security potential of agricultural enterprises.

Systematization of stimulating factors of financial security should be formed on the platform of agrarian policy mechanisms of the state support of the industry in favor of the development of competitive entrepreneurship, support for greening and environmental protection measures; ensuring the scale of regional policy of advanced innovative development of financial, credit, social and engineering infrastructure; formation of

regulatory measures for the formation and operation of enterprises; risk leveling, implementation of protection measures against external and internal threats; improving the efficiency of financial resources of producers, the formation of trends in their profits, maintaining financial balance, through the optimization of financial flows of financial security entities in the context of ensuring their dynamic and stable development.

5. References

- Arefiev, V.O. 2010. "The Strategy of Ensuring the Financial Security of the Enterprise as a Guarantee of Its Efficient and Stable Operation", *Bulletin of Transport Economics and Industry*, 29, 65–68.
- Balomenou, C., and Maliari, M. 2013. "The Critical Importance of the Loan Providing Funds for Small and Medium Enterprises During the Recent Financial Crisis", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 5(1), 127–143.
- Baranovskyi, O.I. 2004. *Financial Security in Ukraine: Evaluation Methodology and Support Mechanisms*. Kyiv: Kyiv National University of Trade and Economics.
- Boronos, V.H. 2011. *Methodological Principles of the Financial Potential of the Territory*. Sumy: Sumskyi Derzhavnyi Universytet.
- Chesbrough, H. 2010. "Business Model Innovation: Opportunities and Barriers", *Long Range Planning*, 43, 354–363.
- Coleman, W., Skogstad, G., and Atkinson, M. 1996. "Paradigm Shifts and Policy Networks: Cumulative Change in Agriculture", *Journal of Public Policy*, 16, 273–301.
- Davydenko, N.M. 2013. *Financial Security of Agrarian Formations*. Kyiv: Comprint.
- Demianenko, M.Ia., and Zuieva, O.I. 2010. *Financial Resources of Agricultural Enterprises of Ukraine*. Kyiv: NSC IAE.
- Dovgal, O.V., Kravchenko, M.V., Demchuk, N.I., Odnoshevnaya, O.A., Novikov, O.Y., Andrusiv, U.Y., Lesik, I.M., Popadynets, I.R. 2017. "Methods of Competitiveness Assessment of Agricultural Enterprise in Eastern Europe", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 9(2), 231–242.
- Fafurida, F., Setiawan, A.B., and Oktavilia, S. 2019. "Investment Improvement Efforts in the Agricultural Sector", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 11(2), 49–57.
- Frunza, S. 2010. "Ensuring the Investment Development of the Agricultural Sector of the National Economy", *Scientific Work KTEU. Economics*, 17, 1–7.
- Gaspar, J., Vasconcelos, P., and Afonso, O. 2014. "Economic Growth and Multiple Equilibria: A Critical Note", *Economic Modelling*, 36, 157–160.
- Gukova, A.V., and Anikina, I.D. 2006. "The Role of Enterprise Financial Security in the Economic Security System", *Education and Society*, 3, 98–102.
- Hasyim, S., Zuhlilmi, M., and Amri, K. 2019. "Is there a causality relationship between law enforcement, crime rates, and economic growth? an empirical evidence from western Indonesia", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 11(3), 95–109.
- Heyts, V. 2009. "Macroeconomic Assessment of the Monetary and Exchange Rate Policy in Ukraine Before and During the Financial Crisis", *Ekonomika Ukrajinny*, 2, 5–23.
- Hreshchak, M.H., Kolot, V. M., and Pokropyvnyi, S.F. 1999. *Business Economics*. Kyiv: KNEU.
- Hryvkivska, O.V. 2012. *Ensuring Financial Security of Agriculture*. Ternopil: Aston.
- Hudz, O.Ie. 2013. "Methodological Platform for Building a Strategy to Ensure the Financial Security of the Enterprise", *Bulletin of Sumy National Agrarian University. Finance and Credit*, 1, 7–12.
- Kim, Dzh.O., Myuller, Ch.U., and Klekka, U.R. 1989. *Factor, Discriminated and Cluster Analysis*. Moscow: Finance and Statistics.
- Komarnytskyi, I. 2010. "The Essence and Ways to Ensure the Financial Security of the State and Others", *Scientific Bulletin of the National University of the State Tax Service of Ukraine. Series "Economics, Law"*, 2(49), 22–28.
- Korneyev, V. 2009. "Anti-crisis instruments of financial stabilization", *Ukraine's Economy*, 12, 22–34.
- Koudoumakis, P., Botzoris, G., Protopapas, A., and Profillidis, V. 2019. "The impact of the economic crisis in the process of convergence of the greek regions", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 11(1), 25–32.
- Kozachenko, A.V., Ponomarev, V.P., and Lyashenko, A.N. 2003. *Economic Security of the Enterprise: the Nature and Mechanism of Support*. Kyiv: Libra.
- Kramarenko, H.O. 2003. *Financial Analysis and Planning*. Kyiv: Centr Navchaljnoji Literatry.
- Kuzenko, T. 2010. "Management of Financial Security of an Enterprise: Methodical Aspect", *Bulletin of Economics of Transport and Industry*, 29, 119–124.
- Kyzym, M.O., Zabrodskyi, V.A., Zinchenko, V.A., and Kopchak, Yu.S. 2003. *Assessment and Diagnosis of Financial Stability of the Enterprise*. Kharkiv: Publishing House "INZHEK".
- Leyfer, L.A. 2003. "Company Valuation. Analysis of Various Methods of Using the Income Approach", *Korporativnyy Menedzhment*.
http://www.cfin.ru/finanalysis/value/revenue_analysis.shtml (Accessed 23 October 2020)

- Ling, C.-H., Yang, H.-L., and Liou, D.-Y. 2009. "The Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Financial Performance: Evidence from Business in Taiwan", *Technology in Society*, 31(1), 56–63.
- Marta-Costa, A., Torres-Manso, F., and Tibério, L. 2012. "Sustainability Diagnosis of an Agroforestry System", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 4(2), 111-124.
- Mohammad Aliha, P., Sarmidi, T., and Faizah Said, F. 2018. "Investigating the Effect of Financial Innovations on the Demand for Money in Australia using DOLS and FMOLs and Comparing Their Predictive Powers", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 10(2), 17–30.
- Mohammad Aliha, P., Sarmidi, T., and Faizah Said, F. 2019. "Comparing the Forecasts of the Demand for Money in Malaysia with the Inclusion of Financial Innovation Using Different Estimation Methods", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 11(3), 163–194.
- Moyer, W., and Josling, T. 2002. *Agricultural Politics and Process in the EU and US for 1990s*. New York and London: Harvester Wheatsheaf, Hemel Hemstead.
- Oleynikova, E.A. 1997. *Fundamentals of Economic Security. State, Region, Enterprise, Personality*. Moscow: Business School "Intel-Synthesis".
- Pantazis, A., and Pelagidis, T. 2017. "Financial indicators affecting stock performance the case of capital product partners", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 9(2), 211–221.
- Pantazis, A., and Pelagidis, T. 2017. "Financial Indicators Affecting Stock Performance the Case of Capital Product Partners", *Regional Science Inquiry*, 9(2), 211–221.
- Pelagidis, T., & Tsahali, E. (2019). BDI's correlation with leading economic indicators. *Regional Science Inquiry*, 11(2), 167–189.
- Petrick, M. 2003. *Empirical Measurement of Credit Rationing in Agriculture: a Methodological Survey*. Haale: Institute of Agricultural Development in Central and Eastern Europe.
- Reverchuk, N.Y. 2004. *Management of Economic Security of Business Structures*. Lviv: LBI NBU.
- Sheremet, A.D., and Sayfulin, R.S. 1995. *Methodology of Financial Analysis*. Moscow: INFRA-M.
- Shkarlet, S.M. 2007. *Economic Security of the Enterprise: Innovative Aspect*. Kyiv: Book Publishing House of the National Aviation University.
- Shlemko, V.T., and Binko, I.F. 1997. *Economic Security of Ukraine: Essence and Ways of Providing*. Kyiv: NISS.
- Sukhorukov, A.I., and Ladiuk, O.D. 2007. *Financial Security of the State*. Kyiv: Center for Educational Literature.
- Trusova, N. 2016. "Systemic Factors of Projected Financial Potential of Business Entities", *Economic Annals – XXI*, 161(9-10), 61–65.
- Trusova, N.V., Hryvkivska, O.V., Tanklevska, N.S., Vdovenko, L.A. Prystemskyi, O.S., and Skrypnyk, S.V. 2019. "Regional Aspect of Formation the Potential of Financial Safety in Agrarian Enterprises of Ukraine", *Asia Life Sciences*, 21(1), 169–186.
- Vdovenko, O.S. 2014. "The Economic Essence of Financial Security of Business Entities in the Agricultural Sector", *Economics of Agro-Industrial Complex*, 1, 125–129.
- Yermoshenko, M. 2001. *Financial Security of the State: National Interests, Real Threats, Strategy of Ensuring*. Kyiv: Kyiv National University of Trade and Economics.
- Zhydyak, O. 2013. "Problems of Improving the Mechanism of Financing Business Structures of the Agrarian Sector", *Business Inform*, 2, 139–141.